Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/513,439	AMBROZIAK, JACEK R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan D. Schlaifer	2178
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Jonathan D. Schlaifer.	(3)	
(2) <u>Edward Grundler</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>"\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1,11,21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Possible 112 indefiniteness issue related to line 11 of claim 1, " to be made in claims 11 and 21.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
wattan AMerks		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20040701